IN RE: Complaint of Robert Cox

DEPOSITION TAKEN VIA ZOOM

May 6, 2022 10:00 a.m.

WITNESS: MARC JEROME, held at the above-mentioned time, before, PAIGE HAYDEN, a Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New York.

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES (866) 624-6221



```
Page 2
 1
            A P P E A R A N C E S:
 2
 3
        WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER, LLP
            Attorneys for Defendant
            150 East 42nd Street
 5
            New York, New York 10017-5639
 6
        BY: PETER MEISELS, ESQ.
 7
 8
        ALSO PRESENT:
 9
        David Blumenthal, Member of Ethics Committee
10
        Dawn Warren, City of New Rochelle
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1

- 2 MARC JEROME, the WITNESS herein, having
- 3 been first duly sworn by a Notary
- 4 Public of the State of New York, was
- 5 examined and testified as follows:
- 6 EXAMINATION BY
- 7 MR. MEISELS:
- 3 Q. State your name for the
- 9 record, please.
- 10 A. Marc Jerome.
- 11 Q. State your address for the
- 12 record, please.
- 13 A. 224 Lincoln Street,
- 14 Englewood, New Jersey 07631.
- 15 Q. Mr. Jerome, let me explain
- 16 what this proceeding is. An ethics
- 17 complaint was filed by Robert Cox,
- 18 with the City of New Rochelle. And
- 19 what the city does when it receives
- those kind of complaints, it refers
- 21 to the Ethics Board. The Ethics
- 22 Board proceeds in -- basically in
- two possibly ways.
- One is, it can bring
- complaints, which is basically an



Page 4 M. JEROME 1 2 adversary proceeding. It is the board versus. Other -- otherwise, it 5 investigates complaints. This is an investigation. No complaint has been made against anybody by this It is purely investigatory. Board. And it will result in the issuance of an advisory opinion. 10 That -- so 11 just so that you can appreciate, and 12 the reason I am emphasizing this is 13 we don't want anyone to get the 14 misimpression that this Board has a 15 complaint against anyone, is 16 pursuing a complaint against anyone. 17 We are just here to investigate and 18 report. 19 And you are investigating 2.0 based -- the sole request of the New 21 Rochelle resident, Robert Cox? 22 0. Of the sole complaint of 23 him. 24 Α. Yes. Fine. 25 Q. Correct.





That is fine.

The -- you don't -- for our

24

25

0.

Okay.









		rage ro
1	M. JEROME	
2	property owners can vote for the	
3	property owner candidate.	
4	Commercial tenants can vote for the	
5	commercial tenant candidates, and	
6	residential tenants can vote for the	
7	residential tenant candidates. The	
8	city and elected representative are	
9	appointed by their appropriate	
10	organization.	
11	Q. In the case of the city	
12	representatives, do you know who	
13	actually makes that appointment?	
14	A. I believe by statute, but	
15	I'm not sure, the commissioner of	
16	finance and the city manager is by	
17	statue. And then the Al Tarinito is	
18	the elected body's chosen member.	
19	But I would have to refer back to	
20	the actual mechanics of the rule. I	
21	am not exactly certain.	
22	Q. In the event that there was	
23	a desire to change the people who	
24	fill the positions that are reserved	
25	for public officials, do you know	



		rage	
1	M. JEROME		
2	how that process would work?		
3	A. Yeah. There are basically		
4	three ways.		
5	The first is when a BID		
6	Board member position came up,		
7	someone could nominate a new person		
8	and then that person could be voted		
9	on. If they receive a majority of		
10	the votes, they would be a member.		
11	The second way would be for		
12	the BID Board to expand the number		
13	of seats, and then we could add more		
14	members.		
15	The third way is if a		
16	member resigns or is removed for		
17	conduct inconsistent with the		
18	bylaws.		
19	Q. For example, as you may		
20	have heard, Charles Strome intends		
21	to retire as city manager at the end		
22	of the year. Assuming that he does		
23	that, does that mean that the		
24	position that is reserving for the		
25	city manager will then be vacant?		



		1 4 9 0 1 1
1	M. JEROME	
2	A. It is vacant and it we	
3	would asked for it to be immediately	
4	filed with no vacancy by the arm of	
5	the city that is statutorily	
6	designated to do that.	
7	Q. Would the same be true if	
8	there was a vacancy in the seat that	
9	is now held by the commissioner of	
10	the finance or the member of the	
11	city counsel?	
12	A. Yes. So both Charles	
13	Strome and the member of the city	
14	counsel have been in their positions	
15	since the founding of the BID in	
16	2000. However, we have had at least	
17	three different members who were a	
18	commissioner of finance starting	
19	with Howard Rattner, R-A-T-T-N-E-R.	
20	Then going to when he resigned	
21	his position, the new commissioner	
22	stepped in, Mark Zulli, Z-U-L-L-I.	
23	And Mark, with a K. And then when	
24	Mark Zulli retired, just recently	
25	the new commissioner stepped in, Ed	





		F
1	M. JEROME	
2	A. He interview me. He called	
3	me. I just spoke to him about that.	
4	All the information comes from me.	
5	I called him directly.	
6	Q. Now I understand why he was	
7	so accurate.	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. Did you have any	
10	understanding as to what the purpose	
11	of the lunch was?	
12	A. I had no idea about the	
13	purpose until we sat down.	
14	Q. Okay.	
15	And after you sat down,	
16	what did you discover was the	
17	purpose of the lunch?	
18	A. I think the purpose of the	
19	lunch was to talk about that the	
20	Board members had been many had	
21	been there for a long long time.	
22	And was there an opportunity to make	
23	the Board more diverse and	
24	potentially bring in new members.	



And I explained to the mayor about

25

		rage 13
1	M. JEROME	
2	the statutory process, and I	
3	essentially explained the two or	
4	three options referred to prior in	
5	my testimony.	
6	I also said that I agreed	
7	with the overall goal of having more	
8	diversity on the Board, and I	
9	offered, even, for myself, if there	
10	was anyone who would like to be the	
11	volunteer chair of the BID Board, I	
12	would always be happy to consider	
13	stepping down in favor of a	
14	qualified candidate.	
15	Q. Was there any discussion	
16	about position of executive	
17	director?	
18	A. There was some limited	
19	discussion about the director's	
20	performance, and her relationship	
21	with members of the city staff. I	
22	informed the mayor, and Mr. Strome,	
23	who had missed the meeting, where we	
24	formally reviewed the executive	
25	director, and awarded her a new	



		Lago	
1	M. JEROME		
2	contract, a new compensation. And		
3	what I informed the two of them was		
4	that I personally pulled an		
5	executive session each Board member		
6	and the Board members present were		
7	unanimous in their support for our		
8	executive director during her first		
9	year of contract during COVID. And		
10	then as a result of that, the Board		
11	awarded her a modest increase in her		
12	contract fee.		
13	Q. During that discussion, did		
14	either Mr. Strome or the mayor		
15	reflect that there was some		
16	dissatisfaction with the executive		
17	director?		
18	A. They reflected that they		
19	expressed that some members of their		
20	staff, you know, Mr. Strome's staff		
21	had had, you know, that working		
22	conditions could be better and		
23	improved. And I responded the blame		
24	is on me for not appropriately		
25	defining the roles. And this was		



1	M. JEROME
2	partly a result of COVID and that I
3	intended, at the city manager's
4	approval, to sit with the commission
5	or development, the new one, Adam
6	Salgado, S-A-L-G-A-D-O, and the
7	corporate counsel, Kathleen Gill,
8	G-I-L-L, who was acting as both I
9	think commissioner for a bit and as
10	deputy city manager. Which, in
11	fact, I did, I then sat with them,
12	had a meeting, and we moved forward
13	collaboratively.
14	Q. And was the the concern
15	raised the working relationship
16	between the city and the BID?
17	A. Yes. To some extent, yes.
18	Q. What else was was
19	referenced?
20	A. Really very little.
21	Q. What was very little?
22	A. To an issue about the
23	executive director's, you know,
24	relationship with one or two staff
25	members of the city, and the



		rage ro
1	M. JEROME	
2	executive director's performance	
3	which I addressed.	
4	Q. Were you were you left	
5	with the impression that the mayor	
6	might be interested in that position	
7	of executive director?	
8	A. No.	
9	Q. Was there any discussion at	
10	all about any employment other than	
11	being mayor for Mr. Bramsin?	
12	A. No.	
13	Q. In in when the point	
14	that you explained to the mayor, the	
15	process by which the public	
16	officials became members of the	
17	Board of Directors of the BID, did	
18	were you given the impression	
19	that the mayor would would like	
20	to have change or replace the	
21	present public members?	
22	A. To be fair, the process for	
23	replacing the public members did not	
24	occur during this lunch. The	
25	discussion only was around either in	



	1490 1	_
1	M. JEROME	
2	enlarging the Board or bringing in	
3	new members, and that would be in	
4	the three other class, commercial,	
5	tenant, property owner, and	
6	residential tenant. And I only	
7	discussed the process for those	
8	three. The discussion of the three	
9	public members never was approached.	
10	Q. At any time, other than the	
11	lunch, was the discussion of	
12	changing any of the public members	
13	discussed with the mayor?	
14	A. No.	
15	Q. So would it be fair to say	
16	that at no time did you discuss a	
17	change in the public members with	
18	the mayor?	
19	A. That is correct. We never	
20	discussed the change in the public	
21	members with the Mayor or Mr.	
22	Strome.	
23	Q. Okay.	
24	Would it be fair to say	
25	that at no time, not just the lunch,	
24	Would it be fair to say	



		1490 20
1	M. JEROME	
2	at any time, you did not discuss the	
3	possibility of the mayor becoming	
4	the executive director?	
5	A. It is fair to say. At no	
6	time have I ever discussed with the	
7	Mayor or anyone else the the	
8	mayor or Strome that there was a	
9	possibility of the mayor becoming	
10	the executive director. That	
11	discussion has never happened.	
12	Q. Okay.	
13	EXAMINATION BY	
14	MR. BLUMENTHAL:	
15	Q. On the last comment you	
16	said that you never discussed it	
17	with either Chuck or the mayor	
18	himself. But I want to make sure	
19	you never discussed with anyone that	
20	of the mayor's possibly	
21	ascendency to the executive director	
22	role, is that right?	
23	A. I don't believe I discussed	
24	it with anyone other than it is	
25	possible that Mr. Cox suggested it	



		Page 21
1	M. JEROME	
2	to me in my follow-up phone call	
3	with him, you know, prior to his	
4	story about the the BID. I only	
5	learned of the issue after the	
6	lunch. And I actually only I was	
7	even unaware of the ethics complaint	
8	against the mayor prior to the	
9	lunch. So I was not aware of the	
10	matter of the mayor and the	
11	commissioner of development issue.	
12	(Continued on next page	
13	to accommodate jurat.)	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



		Page	22
1	M. JEROME		
2	Q. So fair to say that the		
3	Robert Cox reached out to you, you		
4	never reached out to him, right?		
5	A. Yeah. I think he reached		
6	out to me.		
7	Q. I don't think I have any		
8	need for any further clarification.		
9			
10	(Time Noted: 10:32 a.m.)		
11			
12	MARC JEROME		
13			
14	Subscribed and sworn to		
15	before me this day of		
16	2022.		
17			
18			
19	Notary Public		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



				Page 23
1				
2		INDEX		
3	WITNESS	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE.	
4			17101	
5	Marc Jerome	Mr. Meisels	3	
6		Mr. Blumenthal	20	
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				



		Page 24
1		
2	INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS	
3	Please read your deposition	
4	over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason	
5	in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are	
6	made.	
7	After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it. You are signing same subject to the changes you	
8	have noted on the errata sheet, which will be attached to your deposition.	
9	It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the	
10	deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition	
11	transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be	
12	deemed to be accurate and may be used in court.	
13	in court.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



	rage 25
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I, PAIGE HAYDEN, hereby certify that the
5	Examination Before Trial of MARC JEROME was held before
6	me on the 6th day of May, 2022; that said witness was
7	duly sworn before the commencement of his testimony;
8	that the testimony was taken stenographically by myself
9	and then transcribed by myself; that the party was
10	represented by counsel as appears herein;
11	That the within transcript is a true record of
12	the Examination Before Trial of said witness;
13	That I am not connected by blood or marriage to
14	any of the parties; that I am not interested directly or
15	indirectly in the outcome of this matter; that I am not
16	in the employ of any of the counsel.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
18	this 6th day of May, 2022.
19	
20	Paioxe Hayden
21	PAIGE HAYDEN
22	
23	
24	
25	



		Page	26
1			
2	ERRATA SHEET		
3			
4	PAGE LINE (S) CHANGE REASON		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	111		
11	111		
12	111		
13	111		
14	111		
15	111		
16			
17			
18	MARC JEROME		
19	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME		
20	THIS, DAY OF, 20		
21		_	
22	(NOTARY PUBLIC) MY COMMISSION EXPIRES	:	
23			
24			
25			



A	approached	believe	candidates
a.m	19:9	6:15 10:14 13:12	10:5,7
1:6 22:10	appropriate	20:23	carefully
above-mentioned	10:9 24:5	better	24:3
1:9	appropriately	16:22	case
accommodate	16:24	BID	10:11
21:13	approval	6:17 7:14,20,23 8:25	certain
accurate	17:4	9:7,8,15 11:5,12	10:21
13:25 14:7 24:12	approximately	12:15 15:11 17:16	certify
acting	8:8,10 13:4	18:17 21:4	25:4
17:8	April	bit	chair
actual	5:18	17:9	15:11
10:20	arm	blame	chairman
Adam	12:4	16:23	6:17,22
17:5	arranged	blood	change
add	13:10,20	25:13	10:23 18:20 19:17,20
11:13	article	Blumenthal	26:4
addition	5:16,23	2:9 6:10 20:14 23:6	changes
7:22	ascendency	board	24:7
address	20:21	3:21,22 4:3,8,14 9:17	changing
3:11	asked	9:20,22 11:6,12	19:12
addressed	5:15 12:3	14:20,23 15:8,11	Charles
18:3	asking	16:5,6,10 18:17	6:20 11:20 12:12
adversary	5:5	19:2	13:7,14
4:2	Assuming	body's	chosen
advisory	11:22	10:18	10:18
4:10	attached	Bramsin	Chuck
agreed	24:8	13:6 18:11	20:17
15:6	attorney	bring	city
Al	24:10	3:24 14:24	2:10 3:18,19 6:21
6:24 7:12 10:17	Attorneys	bringing	7:17,19 10:8,11,16
annually	2:4	19:2	11:21,25 12:5,11,13
8:23	awarded	business	13:13 15:21 17:3,10
anybody	15:25 16:11	5:19 6:13 9:2,11	17:16,25
4:7	aware	bylaws	city's
appear	5:22 21:9	11:18	7:6,13
5:15	B	$ {\mathbf{C}}$	clarification
appears		$-\left \frac{C}{C}\right $	22:8
25:10	back		clarify
appointed	10:19	2:2 25:2,2	7:11
10:9	based	call 21:2	class
appointment	4:20 5:15	called	9:23 19:4
10:13	basically		classes
appreciate	3:22,25 11:3	6:4 13:15 14:2,5	9:20
4:11 6:6	becoming	candidate	collaboratively
	20:3,9	9:25 10:3 15:14	17:13



designated come correct \mathbf{E} 5:6,7 13:3 4:25 6:12,16,19,24 12:6 2:2,2 23:2 25:2,2 comes 7:5,16,18 8:24 9:4 desire earns 10:23 8:9 14:4 13:18 19:19 commencement corrections development **East** 9:9 17:5 21:11 2:4 25:7 24:4,5 comment counsel **DICKER** economic 20:15 7:2 12:11,14 17:7 2:3 9:12 different commercial 25:10,16 Ed 10:4,5 19:4 12:17 12:25 court 1:9 24:12 commission directly **EDELMAN** 17:4 26:22 **COVID** 13:13 14:5 25:14 2:3 commissioner 16:9 17:2 director either 7:6,7 10:15 12:9,18 Cox 7:23 8:5,9 15:17,25 16:14 18:25 20:17 1:2 3:17 4:21 13:24 12:21.25 17:9 21:11 16:8.17 18:7 20:4 elaboration committee 20:25 22:3 20:10,21 13:17 2:9 7:8 9:21 director's elected D compensation 15:19 17:23 18:2 10:8,18 D 16:2 **Directors ELSER** 23:2 complained 18:17 2:3 date 5:10 discover emphasizing 24:7 complaint 14:16 4:12 David 1:2 3:17 4:6,15,16,22 discuss employ 2:9 21:7 19:16 20:2 25:16 Dawn complaints discussed employment 2:10 3:20.25 4:5 19:7,13,20 20:6,16 18:10 day **Englewood** concern 20:19,23 22:15 25:6,18 26:20 17:14 discussion 3:14 days 15:15,19 16:13 18:9 conditions enlarging 24:10 9:13 16:22 18:25 19:8,11 20:11 19:2 deemed conduct dissatisfaction entire 24:12 11:17 16:16 5:23 Defendant connected district errata 2:4 25:13 5:19 6:14 24:5,7,8,9 26:2 defined consider diverse **ESQ** 9:17 15:12 14:23 2:6 defining consultant diversity essentially 16:25 8:17 15:8 15:3 delicious Continued doing ethics 13:21 21:12 24:6 2:9 3:16,21,21 21:7 deposing downtown contract event 24:10 8:16,20,22 16:2,9,12 9:10 10:22 deposition contractor duly exactly 1:5 24:3,8,10,11 8:18 3:3 25:7 10:21 deputy corporate **Examination** \mathbf{E} 17:10 17:7 3:6 20:13 23:3 25:5



25:12 held investigate fine 4:24 5:24 examined 1:8 12:9 25:5 4:17 3:5 first hereunto investigates 3:3 9:20 11:5 16:8 example 25:17 4:5 9:25 11:19 follow-up Howard investigating 12:19 executive 21:2 4:19 investigation 7:23 8:5,8 15:16,24 follows I 16:5,8,16 17:23 3:5 4:6 idea 18:2,7 20:4,10,21 formally investigatory 14:12 expand 15:24 4:8 immediately issuance 11:12 forward 12:3 4:9 **EXPIRES** 17:12 imperative 26:22 founding issue 24:9 explain 12:15 17:22 21:5,11 impression 3:15 6:3 further Italian 18:5,18 explained 22:8 13:21 improved 14:25 15:3 18:14 furtherer J 16:23 13:17 expressed improvement Jerome 16:19 G 5:19 6:14 1:8 3:2,10,15 4:1 5:1 extent G-I-L-L including 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 17:17 17:8 9:10 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 F Gill inconsistent 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 F 17:7 11:17 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 25:2 22:12 23:4 25:5 given increase fact 5:12 18:18 16:11 26:18 17:11 go independent Jersey fail 6:9 8:17 3:14 24:11 indirectly jurat goal 21:13 fair 15:7 25:15 5:9 7:15 18:22 19:15 informal going K 19:24 20:5 22:2 6:7 12:20 5:4 K information faster H 12:23 6:9 5:11 14:4 K-A-R-E-L hand informed favor 25:17 8:3 15:13 15:22 16:3 happened Karel February **INSTRUCTIONS** 20:11 8:2 24:2 13:5 Kathleen happy fee intended 17:7 15:12 16:12 17:3 kind HAYDEN filed intends 1:9 25:4,21 3:20 3:17 12:4 11:20 health know fill interested 9:10 7:12 9:12 10:12,25 10:24 18:6 25:14 16:20,21 17:23 21:3 heard interview finance 11:20 7:6 10:16 12:10,18 14:2



	1		
L	13:13 17:10	8:19,20	occur
L-I-T-T-M-A-N	manager's	months	18:24
8:3	17:3	5:21	occurred
leading	Marc	MOSKOWITZ	5:20
6:8	1:8 3:2,10 22:12 23:4	2:3	offered
learned	25:5 26:18	moved	15:9
21:5	Mark	17:12	officially
left	12:22,23,24		7:13
18:4	marriage		officials
LEGAL	25:13	N 2-2-22-2	9:3 10:25 18:16
1:24	matter	2:2 23:2	Okay
limited	21:10 25:15	name	5:24 7:4,10 8:14 9:5
15:18	mayor	3:8 8:2	14:14 19:23 20:12
Lincoln	13:6,16 14:25 15:22	necessary	opinion
3:13	16:14 18:5,11,14,19	24:3	4:10
LINE	19:13,18,21 20:3,7	need	opportunity
26:4	20:8,9,17 21:8,10	6:2 22:8	14:22
little	mayor's	never	options
17:20,21	20:20	19:9,19 20:11,16,19	15:4
Littman	mean	22:4	organization
8:2	11:23	new	10:10
LLP	mechanics	1:10 2:5,5,10 3:4,14	original
2:3	10:20	3:18 4:20 6:20 11:7	24:9
long	meeting	12:21,25 13:23	outcome
6:10 14:21,21	5:20 15:23 17:12	14:24 15:25 16:2	25:15
lot	Meisels	17:5 19:3	overall
6:9	2:6 3:7 23:5	nominate	9:12 15:7
lunch	member	11:7	owner
13:6,11,16,20,22	2:9 7:7 9:15,16,17	nominated	10:3 19:5
14:11,17,19 18:24	10:18 11:6,10,16	9:21	owners
19:11,25 21:6,9	12:10,13 16:5	nominating	8:25 9:2,11 10:2
	members	9:21	
M	6:13 9:20,23 11:14	Notary	<u>P</u>
M	12:17 14:20,24	1:10 3:3 22:19 26:22	P
4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1	15:21 16:6,19 17:25	noted	2:2,2
9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1	18:16,21,23 19:3,9	22:10 24:8	page
13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1	19:12,17,21	number	21:12 23:3 26:4
17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1	misimpression	11:12	paid
21:1 22:1	4:14	0	8:5,20
MAGNA	missed		PAIGE
1:24	15:23	oath	1:9 25:4,21
majority	modest	5:8,12	parties
11:9	16:11	objection	25:14
manager	month	6:11	partly
6:21 10:16 11:21,25	13:4	occasion	17:2
	monthly	13:5	party
	I	I	1



25:9 18:23 procedure reached 9:19 22:3,4,5 report people 10:23 proceeding read 4:18 3:16 4:2 5:4 performance 5:17,23 24:3 Reporter proceeds 15:20 18:2 1:10 ready permitted 3:22 6:2 representative 9:24 Really 7:14 10:8 process 11:2 15:2 18:15,22 17:20 representatives person 7:19 10:12 5:10 9:14 11:7,8 19:7 reason 4:12 5:14 6:5 24:4 personally property represented 8:25 9:11 10:2,3 19:5 16:4 26:4 25:10 persons public recall request 7:2 1:10 3:4 9:3 10:25 8:7 4:20 13:12 **PETER** 18:15,21,23 19:9,12 receipt reserved 2:6 19:17,20 22:19 24:10 10:24 phone 26:22 receive reserving published 11:9 21:2 11:24 5:17 9:22 resident phonetic receives 6:20,25 13:7 pulled 3:19 4:21 residential please 16:4 record 3:9,12 24:3,6 purely 3:9,12 25:11 10:6,7 19:6 refer 4:8 residents point 18:13 10:19 9:2 purpose referenced 9:6,8 14:10,13,17,18 resigned position 8:4,5 11:6,24 12:21 purposes 17:19 12:20 resigns 15:16 18:6 6:2 referred positions 15:4 11:16 pursuing 10:24 12:14 responded 4:16 referring possibility 5:18 16:23 0 20:3,9 refers result qualified possible 3:20 4:9 16:10 17:2 15:14 20:25 reflect retire questions 16:15 11:21 possibly 6:8 3:23 20:20 reflected retired 12:24 16:18 potentially R 14:24 regard return R 13:24 24:9 preferred 2:2 25:2 9:25 relationship reviewed R-A-T-T-N-E-R prescribed 15:20 17:15,24 15:24 12:19 9:18 right removed R-I-T-T-E-R present 11:16 20:22 22:4 13:2 2:8 16:6 18:21 renewed Ritter raised 7:7 prior 8:22,23 17:15 15:4 21:3,8 replace Robert Rattner probably 18:20 1:2 3:17 4:21 13:24 12:19 5:16 22:3 replacing



24:7 24:7 1:9 13:3 14:21 19:10 Rochelle Subscribed 19:16,25 20:2,6 2:10 3:18 4:21 6:21 sit 13:23 17:4 22:14 26:19 22:10 transcribed role sole subsequently 25:9 20:22 4:20,22 5:3 Sound roles suggested transcript 20:25 16:25 5:18 24:11,11 25:11 rule support Trial space 24:5 9:9 16:7 10:20 25:5,12 Spadaro sure true S 12:7 25:11 13:22 7:12 10:15 13:9 specific 20:18 two 2:2 26:4 9:23 3:23 5:20 15:3 16:3 sworn S-A-L-G-A-D-O spoke 3:3 22:14 25:7 26:19 17:24 17:6 14:3 T U S-P-A-D-A-R-O staff Т 13:22 unanimous 15:21 16:20,20 17:24 16:7 S-T-R-O-M-E 25:2.2 starting 13:14 take unaware 12:18 5:8 salary 21:7 state 8:16,19 understand taken 1:10 3:4,8,11 24:4 Salgado 1:5 25:8 5:2 14:6 statue 17:6 understanding talk 10:17 5:17 14:19 14:10 sat statute **Tarinito** Understood 14:13,15 17:11 9:18 10:14 6:25 10:17 5:13 seat statutorily 12:8 tenant 12:5 \mathbf{V} 10:5,7 19:5,6 seats statutory vacancy 11:13 tenants 15:2 12:4.8 second 10:4,6 stenographically vacant testified 11:11 25:8 11:25 12:2 3:5 secretary stepped versus 7:2,12 testimony 12:22,25 4:3 15:5 25:7,8 see stepping vice 5:5 think 15:13 6:21 **SERVICES** 5:9 6:8 14:18 17:9 story voluntarily 1:24 22:5,7 21:4 5:8 session third Street volunteer 16:5 11:15 2:4 3:13 15:11 set thirty Strome vote 25:17 24:10 6:20 11:20 12:13 9:24 10:2,4,6 sheet three 13:7.14 15:22 16:14 voted 24:5,7,8,9 26:2 7:17,19 9:19 11:4 19:22 20:8 11:8 sign 12:17 13:19 15:4 Strome's votes 24:6 19:4,8,8 16:20 11:10 signing time subject



			Page 7
voting	12:22,24	624-6221	
9:19		1:25	
	0	- 1.23 - 6th	
W	_ 07631	25:6,18	
want	3:14		
4:13 6:3 20:18		_	
Warren	1	_	
2:10	10:00	8	
way	1:6	866	
11:11,15	10:32	1:25	
ways	22:10		
3:23 11:4	10017-5639	9	
WHEREOF	2:5		
25:17	13		
WILSON	6:13		
2:3	150		
withdrawn	2:4 8:10		
7:17	160,000		
witness	8:11		
1:8 3:2 23:3 24:2		_	
25:6,12,17	2	_	
witnesses	20		
5:6	23:6 26:20		
work	2000		
11:2	12:16		
working	2022		
16:21 17:15	1:6 22:16 25:6,18		
10.21 17.13	224		
X	3:13		
X	_ 24th		
1:3,10 23:2	5:18		
1.5,10 25.2		_	
Y	3	_	
Yeah	_ 3		
11:3 22:5	23:5		
year	30		
8:12 11:22 16:9	24:10		
York		_	
1:10 2:5,5 3:4	4	_	
	_ 42nd		
Z	_ 2:4		
Z-U-L-L-I		_	
12:22	5	_	
ZOOM		-	
1:5	6	-	
Zulli	6		
	1:6		
	ı	ı	I

